

ON CLOSED FULLY STABLE ACTS

Shaymaa AmerAbdul-Kareem^{1*}, Muntaha Abdul-Razaq Hasan²

^{*1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

***Corresponding Author:-**

Abstract:

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study closed fully stable acts as a concept of generalization of fully stable acts. Some properties and characterizations of class of closed fully stable acts are considered. The relations between this class and other well classes of acts, like quasi-injective acts and other classes of injectivity are discussed.

Keywords:- *Closed fully stable act, extending act, quasi-injective act, closed fully pseudo act.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M30.

1-INTRODUCTION

Throughout this work, S is a monoid with zero element and every S -act is unitary right S -act with zero element Θ which denoted by M_S . For more details about S -acts we refer the reader to the reference [1]. M.S. Abbas introduced in [2] a class of modules which was called a fully stable module which prompted Hiba to give the corresponding definition for S -acts as follows: let M_S be an S -act. A subact N of M_S is called stable, if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each S -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M_S$. An S -act M is called fully stable in case each subact of M_S is stable. A monoid S is fully stable if it is a fully stable S -act [3]. A subsystem N of S -system M_S is called closed if it has no proper \cap -large in M_S that is the only solution of $N \hookrightarrow \cap I \hookrightarrow \neq M_S$ is $N = L$ [4]. The concept of fully stable S -act motivated us to introduce and study a generalization of its which is closed fully stable concept as a class of acts, and give several characterizations of the S -acts. A part of this paper devoted to study the relations between this class and some acts like quasi-injective, Baer's criterion and extending acts.

2-Closed fully stable acts:

Definition (2.1):

Let M_S be a right S -act. A closed subact N of M_S is called closed stable if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each S -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M_S$. An S -act M_S is called closed fully stable act (for short cl-fully stable) in case each closed subact of M_S is stable. A monoid S is closed fully stable if it is cl-fully stable S -act.

Remarks and Examples (2.2):

1-Every fully stable act is cl-fully stable act, but the converse is not true in general for example Z with multiplication as Z -act is cl-fully stable act but not fully stable

2-Isomorphism act to cl-fully stable act is cl-fully stable act

3-Every cl-fully stable is fully invariant, but the converse is not true in general for example Z with multiplication as Z -act is cl-fully stable act but not fully invariant, for this if we define $f: 2Z \rightarrow Z$ by $f(2n) = 3n$, then it is clear that $f(2Z) \not\subseteq 2Z$ since $f(2.1) = 3 \notin f(2Z)$.

The following lemmas explain under which conditions the subact inherit the property of closed fully stable act:

Lemma(2.4): Every closed subact of closed fully stable act is closed fully stable. Proof: Let M_S be closed fully stable S -act and N be closed subact of M_S . Let H be closed subact of N . Then H is closed subact of M_S by lemma (2.4) in [5]. Let $f: H \rightarrow N$ be an S -homomorphism and $i_N: N \rightarrow M_S$ be the inclusion map, so $i_N \circ f: H \rightarrow M_S$ be an S -homomorphism. As M_S is cl-fully stable act, so $i_N \circ f(H) \subseteq H$ and this implies that $f(H) \subseteq H$. Thus N is cl-fully stable act.

Lemma (2.5): Every retract subact of closed fully stable act is closed fully stable.

Proof: By remarks and examples (2.2) (4) in [4] and by lemma (2.4).

Proposition(2.6): Let M_S be an S -act in which every closed is a retract of M_S . If $\text{End}(M_S)$ is commutative, then M_S is cl-fully stable act.

Proof: Let N be any closed subact of M_S and $f: N \rightarrow M_S$ be an S -homomorphism. Then, by assumption there exists a subact H such that $M_S = NUH$. f can be extended to an S -homomorphism $g: M_S \rightarrow M_S$ by putting $g(h) = \Theta$ for each $h \in H$. Define $K: M_S \rightarrow M_S$ by $K(x, y) = x$ for each $x \in N$ and $y \in H$. Let $f(x) = (y, 1)$ for some $y \in N$ and $1 \in H$. Now $K \circ g(w) = K(g(x, h)) = K(g(x)) = K(f(x)) = K(y, 1) = y$. On the other hand $g \circ K(w) = g(K(x, y)) = g(x) = f(x) = (y, 1)$. Since $K \circ g = g \circ K$, then $(y, 1) = (y, 0)$ and $1 = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $f(x) \in N$ and therefore $f(N) \subseteq N$, hence M_S is cl-fully stable. Because in extending acts every closed subact is a retract in [5], then we have:

Corollary (2.7): Let M_S be extending act. Then M_S is cl-fully stable if and only if $\text{End}(M_S)$ is commutative

Proposition(2.8): Let M_S be an S -act such that every closed subact is a retract of M_S . If $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable monoid, then M_S is cl-fully stable act.

Proof: Let N be closed subact of M_S : $N \rightarrow M_S$. Consider $K = \text{Hom}(M_S, N)$, is closed right ideal of $\text{End}(M_S)$. Define $\beta: K \rightarrow \text{End}(M_S)$ by $\beta(f) = \alpha \circ f$ for each $f \in K$. Clearly, $\beta(f) \in \text{End}(M_S)$, moreover β is $\text{End}(M_S)$ -homomorphism. Since $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable, so $\beta(K) \subseteq K$. That is for each $f \in K$, $\alpha \circ f \in K$ and then $\alpha \circ f: M_S \rightarrow N$. But N is a retract of M_S , then the natural projection π_N of M_S onto N is in K , hence $\alpha \circ \pi_N \in K$. That is $\alpha \circ \pi_N: M_S \rightarrow N$, since π_N is onto, so $\alpha: N \rightarrow N$ or $\alpha(N) \subseteq N$. Thus M_S is cl-fully stable act. ■

Corollary (2.9): Let M_S be extending S -act. If $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable monoid, then M_S is cl-fully stable act

Proposition(2.10): Let M_S be S -act such that every subact is closed. Then M_S is cl-fully stable act if and only if $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable monoid.

Proof: Let M_S be cl-fully stable and extending S -act. Let $I = \text{Hom}(M_S, N)$ be closed right ideal of $\text{End}(M_S)$ and $\alpha: I \rightarrow \text{End}(M_S)$. As M_S is cl-fully stable, so for each S -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M_S$, $f(N) \subseteq N$. Then, it is clear that for each $g \in I$, $f \circ g \in \text{End}(M_S)$. Since $\text{End}(M_S)$ is commutative by corollary(2.7), so $f \circ g = g \circ f$. This means that f, g are isomorphisms. Then, since $f: N \rightarrow N$, so we have $f \circ g \in I$. This implies that $f \circ g \in \text{End}(M_S) = \alpha(I)$ and on the other hand $f \circ g \in I$. Therefore, $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$.

Corollary (2.11): Let M_S be quasi injective S -act. Then M_S is cl-fully stable act if and only if $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable monoid.

Corollary (2.12): Let M_S be quasi injective S -act with $\psi M = I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1- M_S is cl-fully stable act;
- 2- $\text{End}(M_S)$ is commutative monoid;
- 3- $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable act.

Proof: (1 \rightarrow 2) As quasi injective S -act with $\psi M = I$ is extending act by proposition(4.1) in [5], so by corollary(2.7) $\text{End}(M_S)$ is commutative monoid.

(2→3) As pervious argument in (1→2), we obtain M_S is extending, so by corollary(2.7) , M_S is cl-fully stable act and then by corollary(2.11) implies that $\text{End}(M_S)$ is cl-fully stable act .
 (1→2) By corollary (2.11).

The following proposition explain the characterization of closed stable subact :

Proposition(2.13): Let M_S be an S-act and $M_S = A \cup B$, where A and B are two subacts of M_S . If N is closed stable subact of M_S , then $N = (A \cap N) \cup (B \cap N)$.

Proof: Let $\pi_A: M_S \rightarrow A$ and $\pi_B: M_S \rightarrow B$ be the projection maps of M_S onto A and B respectively . Because N is stable subact of M_S , then $\pi_A(N) \subseteq N$ and $\pi_B(N) \subseteq N$. Thus $\pi_A(N) \subseteq A \cap N$ and $\pi_B(N) \subseteq B \cap N$. Now, $N = 1N = \pi_A(N) \cup \pi_B(N) \subseteq (A \cap N) \cup (B \cap N)$. The other direction of the inclusion is obvious. Hence $N = (A \cap N) \cup (B \cap N)$.

In the following, we introduce a class of acts larger than the class of closed fully stable acts :

Definition (2.14): Let M_S be an S-act. A closed subact N of M_S is called closed pseudo stable if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each S-monomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M_S$ and M_S is called closed fully pseudo stable act (for simply cl-fully pseudo stable) if each subact is closed pseudo stable . The proof is essentially the same as the corresponding result in [6] , where proved that fully stable act and fully Pseudo stable are coincide :

Proposition (2.15): Every closed fully pseudo stable reversible act is closed fully stable act. ■

Definition(2.16): An S-act is called terse if distinct subacts are not isomorphic. The following proposition show that the concepts of terse and closed fully pseudo stable are coincide , the proof of the following proposition by lemma(3.11) in [4]

Proposition (2.17): An S-act is cl-fully pseudo stable if and only if it is terse.

3-Acts related to cl-fully stable acts:

In the following proposition we try to put some light on the relation between cl-fully stable act and quasi injective, where it gives an answer for the equation: when quasi injective acts are cl-fully stable?

Before this proposition we need the following concept. Recall that an S-act is called multiplication if each subsystem of M_S is of the form MI , for some right ideal I of S . This is equivalent to saying that every principal subsystem is of this form [7].

In fact, since there is no relation between multiplication acts and cl-fully stable acts, so we can use it as a condition for the following proposition:

Proposition (3.1): Let M_S be multiplication S-act over commutative monoid. If M_S is quasi injective, then M_S is cl-fully stable act.

Proof: Let N be any closed subact of M_S and $f: N \rightarrow M_S$ be any S-homomorphism . Since M_S is multiplication, so $N = IM$ for some ideal I of S . By quasi injectivity of M_S , f can be extended to S-homomorphism $g: M_S \rightarrow M_S$. Now, $f(N) = g(N) = g(IM) = Ig(M) \subseteq IM = N$. ■

Proposition(3.2): Let M_S be multiplication S-act over commutative monoid. If M_S is pseudo injective, then M_S is cl-fully pseudo stable act. **Proof:** The proof is essentially the same as the proposition (3.1) by replacing the homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M_S$ by S-monomorphism

The following proposition explain relation between closed fully stable S-act and Baer criterion, but before we need the following concept:

Definition (3.3): Let N_S be a subact of some act M_S . We say that N satisfies Baer criterion, if for every S-homomorphism $f: N_S \rightarrow M_S$, there exists an elements $e \in S$ such that $f(n) = ne$ for each $n \in N_S$. An S-act M_S is said to satisfy Baer criterion if every subact of M_S satisfies Baer criterion.

Proposition(3.4): If M_S is closed fully stable S-act, then M_S satisfies Baer criterion for closed subacts (where S is a commutative monoid).

Proof: Let N_S be a closed subact of M_S and $f: N_S \rightarrow M_S$ an S-homomorphism. Since N_S is stable, we have $f(N_S) \subseteq N_S$ and hence $f(n) \in N_S$, which implies that $f(n) \in M_S$ but N_S is closed (this means has no proper essential extension), so there is $t \in S$ such that $f(n) = nt$. Let $w \in N_S$, hence $w = nr$ for some $r \in S$ and hence $f(w) \in N_S$. So $f(w) = f(nr) = f(n)r = (nr)r = n(tr) = x(nt) = (nr)t = wt$. Hence there is $t \in S$ such that $f(w) = wt$ for every $w \in N_S$. Thus Baer criterion holds for closed subacts.

References

- [1]. M. Kilp, U. Knauer and A. V. Mikhalev , 2000 , Monoids acts and categories with applications to wreath products and graphs , Walter de Gruyter . Berlin. New York .
- [2]. M.S. Abbas , On fully stable modules, PhD thesis, Univ. of Baghdad, 1990.
- [3]. R.B.Hiba, 2014 , On fully stable acts, MSC thesis , College of Science , University of Al-Mustansiriyah
- [4]. A. Shaymaa, 2016, Pseudo C-M-Injective and Pseudo C-Quasi Principally Injective Systems Over Monoids, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, Vol.6, Issue3, pp. 788-802.
- [5]. A. Shaymaa, Extending and P-extending S-act over Monoids , International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research , Vol.2 , Issue 7 , March-April 2017 , pp.171-178.
- [6]. M.S. Abbas and A. A. Mustafa , 2015 , Fully pseudo stable S-systems , Journal of Advances in Mathematics , Vol .10 , No.3 , 3356-3361 .
- [7]. M. Ershad and M. Roueentan, 2014 , Strongly duo and duo right S-acts , Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics , Vol. 32 , 143-154.
- [8]. A. Shaymaa, 2015, Generalizations of quasi injective systems over monoids, PhD. thesis, College of Science, University of Al-Mustansiriyah , Baghdad , Iraq .